

Advocate to increase take off  
and landing fees to reduce flights

Increase number of NOC representatives  
Give Edina and St. Louis Park  
their own seat on the NOC and no  
longer be under the member-at-  
large -



The public is invited to join the Metropolitan Airports Commission (MAC) at the MAC General Offices, on October 25, 2017 at 7:00 PM for the NOC Fall Listening Session.

The Noise Oversight Committee (NOC) sponsors quarterly meetings for citizens to allow for an engaging, two-way dialogue between the public and the MAC committee tasked with addressing MSP aviation noise topics.

We are seeking citizen input to generate ideas for topics that could be included in the 2018 NOC Work Plan. The goal of this meeting is to collaboratively develop NOC Work Plan recommendations that are important to airport neighbors. The agenda for the meeting is included below.

<b>What:</b>	Fall NOC Listening Session
<b>Where:</b>	MAC General Offices – 6040 28 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN 55450
<b>Date:</b>	Wednesday, October 25, 2017
<b>Time:</b>	7:00 – 8:00 PM
<b>Who's Invited:</b>	Airport Neighbors MAC Environment Department Staff NOC Representatives MAC Commission Members Local Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Staff

#### Agenda

7:00	Welcome
7:00	Introductions <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• What is your name? Where do you live?</li><li>• What would you like to get out of this meeting?</li></ul>
7:15	NOC Update
7:25	2018 NOC Work Plan Ideas
8:00	Closing Feedback - What did you like / dislike about the meeting format?

Like to see summary of goals met annually

WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

Any issues with  
pilots + following  
flight paths >  
on-time arrivals DOT



WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

EDINA + ST. LOUIS PART  
NEEDS THEIR OWN  
INDIVIDUAL NOC REPRESENTATIVE



WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

Love the format, would like to see quantitative results at next meeting.



WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

1 hour time limit is disingenuous to the concerns of the community for shared public comment.

Push for use of St. Cloud Airport and Light Rail connection to Mpls.

NOC reps (all of them) should have been present.



Only 2 half-hour to idea share...  
too much time in intros  
& less true "working" time.



- ① Can we establish goals & timelines so we can show progress through these meetings?
- ② Can we fine airlines for late night flights & use money to subsidize noise reduction?

WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

Please clarify NOC's role  
or authority in Airport operation



WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

I like the ability to offer input, but  
I'm concerned that industry lobbies  
have a bigger, louder voice.

1/2-hour of intros wasted time.



WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

Amend the NAC membership  
to include better representation  
of affected neighbors - one member  
from Edina + St. Louis Park  
one member from at large  
community.



WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

Add to Best Practices item -  
Watch Michael Moore  
"Where to Invade Next"  
documentary  
-seriously! 😊



WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

---

We need more citizen in the meeting  
How about a more central location  
or neighborhood meetings  
Publicize the meeting.



WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

---

SOLICITING COMMUNITY INPUT IS ALWAYS  
A GOOD THING. PLEASE KEEP DOING IT!







Study how to  
use the "new" runway  
so take offs / landings  
will be better dispersed.

---

WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

---

WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?

OCT. 25

#1 WE NEED TO KNOW WHAT  
NOC WORK PLAN IDEAS  
SUGGESTED AT THIS MEETING  
MAKE IT ON THE LIST  
#2 PUBLIC NEEDS TIME TO <sup>WITHOUT</sup> PERMIT  
SPEAK AT EVERY NOC PUBLIC MEETING  
MIN. 15 MINUTES



We all realize we live in a metropolitan area and expect flights to be over our homes. There has to be a way to "share" this over the metro area. Everyone can "take a turn" during the day/night

Use all the runways to create more flight paths so the noise is shared.

Keep planes as high in the air as possible before they drop down - over homes that are mitigated

~~WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?~~

2018 NOC Work Plan Idea

- Consider 

stopping ending
--------------------

 the 

RNAV Arrivals
------------------

 that began in March 2015: that is when the issues 

concentrated compounded
----------------------------

!
- Evaluate the benefits/costs/negative of the RNAV Arrivals



I really appreciate all  
your efforts and the opportunity  
but I wonder when and  
if NOC will ever share  
and achieve the measurable  
goals + improvements.  
When can we discuss these?


**WHAT DID YOU LIKE OR DISLIKE ABOUT THIS MEETING FORMAT?**

---

Is the runway use  
system really used.

Who benefits from  
distant profile departures  
or not benefit!



- Edina & St. L. Park + have their own rep on NoC
- Lobby legislature to move the airport ~ halfway between Mpls & Rochester?
- Stagger arrival & departure times & flight patterns
- Different location for freight airlines
- Annual Report of data:
  - \* # of flights for the year
  - \* how many flights departed/arrived on the specific runways
  - \* times of flight
  - \* daily flight patterns
  - \* average altitude of flights
  - \* lowest flight altitude / highest
  - \* noise level ~~contour map~~
  - \* 

## Additional Suggestions Fall 2018 NOC Work Plan:

- Produce annual reports on 55dB (~~and~~ just to have data)
- Set goals to reduce Nighttime Flights / Noise
- Produce Comparative Reports on MSP vs. other benchmark/leader airports that look at noise reductions and rank MSP
- Reorganize NOC membership to include:
  - At-Large Rep for Edina / St. Louis Park (our issues are not effectively represented)
  - Reduce / Combine industry Reps at-large Add a rep for "President"

- Study options to use Runway 17/35. Just because the FAA has determined it can't be used, we should solve the issues & find a solution.

Can you fly over the river?